

Debate Rules

The class will be split into two groups, taking opposing stances on either side of a management dispute.

RED Team: First **BLUE Team:** Second

The groups will be assigned to one side of the argument randomly before the debate (after class).

The 80-minute debate will follow the following format:

- Introductions (Team A and B): 10 mins each
- Rebuttal (Team A and B): 10 mins each
- Questions (Team A and B): 10 mins each
- Concluding Statements (Team A and B): 10 mins each

Debate II: The Argument

Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 8, 1–34 (1998)


An ecological view of the tuna–dolphin problem: impacts and trade-offs

MARTÍN A. HALL

Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, 8604 La Jolla Shores Dr., La Jolla, CA 92037, mhall@iattc.ucsd.edu

RED Team:

ETP Purse-seine fishery should target dolphin sets to minimize overall ecosystem-level impacts



Debate II: The Argument

Vol. 291: 1–21, 2005

MARINE ECOLOGY PROGRESS SERIES
Mar Ecol Prog Ser

Published April 28

FEATURE ARTICLE

Non-recovery of two spotted and spinner dolphin populations in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean

Tim Gerrodette^{1,*,}, Jaume Forcada^{1,2}**

¹NOAA Fisheries, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, 8604 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, California 92037, USA

²Present address: British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environment Research Council, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0ET, UK

International Journal of Comparative Psychology, 2007, **20**, 217-227.
Copyright 2007 by the International Society for Comparative Psychology

Fishery Effects on Dolphins Targeted by Tuna Purse-seiners in the Eastern Tropical Pacific Ocean

Elizabeth F. Edwards
Southwest Fisheries Science Center, U.S.A.

BLUE Team:
ETP Purse-seine fishery should target log sets Instead of dolphin sets due to the ongoing fishery impacts on the dolphins and the lack of a population recovery